

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/907,249	(07/17/2001	Gopichand Katragadda	1739/42	6639	
23381	7590	07/11/2002				
		OAN & BIRN	EXAMINER			
3010 EAST 6TH AVENUE DENVER, CO 80206				SNOW, WALTER E		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2862		
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MP

•	09/967249	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	T
-	V. Show	2862	
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on th cover sheet be		ddress—
Period for Reply	$\overline{}$	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	JLING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution, and reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ply within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be consi m the mailing date of this communion b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. (idered timely. cation. § 133).
tatus			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
☐ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except the accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is o	losed in
isposition of Claims			
Claim(s) / -20		is/are pending in the app	lication.
Of the above eleter(s)			
Di Claim(s) $\frac{16 \text{ of } 20}{1 - 10, 13 - 15}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ af $\frac{1}{10}$		is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 1-10, 13-15, 1) af 18)	is/are rejected.	
D. Claim(s) 11,12 ad 19		is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction	or election
oplication Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re-	ceived.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been red	ceived in Application N	D	
$\hfill\Box$ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	have been received		
in this national stage application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
*Certified copies not received:			·
tachment(s)			
Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s). <u>2 4 4 </u>	t rview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Informal Pat nt Applica	ation, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO-948		th r	
			•
Office Act	tion Summary		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/907,249

Art Unit: 2862

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 13-13-15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Earnst et al. (reference M on PTO-1449) in view of Wilson.

Earnst discloses all of the claimed subject matter, except for the specific details of the DC magnet, the carriage for the eddy current probe and the specific details of the mounting of the eddy current probe. Wilson teaches in the same field of endeavor mounting a magnetic flaw detector on a carriage for forcing the detector against the rail head for the purpose of maintaining the detector parallel with the rail at all times. This protects the detector from damage and inherently controls lift-off. It would have been obvious to provide a carriage as claimed for the eddy current probe in the device of Earnst in view of the teaching of Wilson. The details of the DC Magnet and the mounting of the eddy current probe are considered obvious design considerations well within the capabilities of one skilled in the art.

- 3. Claims 11, 12 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 16 and 20 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2862

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Walter Snow at telephone number (703) 305-4911.

W SNOW/pj

07/05/02

WALTER E. SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER

5